



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,567	08/22/2003	Jeremy Petsinger	10992627-3	8220
22879	7590 06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			DUNCAN, MARC M	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COL	INS, CO 80527-2400		2113	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>		
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Asticus Communication	10/645,567	PETSINGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marc Duncan	2113	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Au</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement.  r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to the drawin	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/22/03.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huggins (5,845,064).

Claim 3 is objected to.

Claims 4-20 are allowed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huggins (5,845,064).

Regarding claim 1:

Huggins teaches:

An apparatus for verifying correctness of a behavioral model of a microcode machine (Title), the microcode machine operable in a native state and an emulated state, the apparatus, comprising:

means for producing the native state (col. 2 lines 40-43 and col. 4 lines 2-4 – the model of the design is a means for producing the native state);

means for producing the emulated state (col. 2 lines 40-43 and col. 3 liens 63-67

– the C language reference model is a means or producing the emulated state); and
means for comparing the native state and the emulated state (col. 2 lines 43-45

and col. 4 lines 63-67).

Regarding claim 2:

Huggins teaches:

wherein the behavioral model comprises one of a hardware description language and a processor (col. 4 lines 2-4).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art was not found that explicitly teaches or fairly suggests executing a sequence of microinstructions generated from microcode taken from a microcode storage in order to produce the native state and executing a macroinstruction corresponding to the microinstruction sequence in order to produce the emulated state as outlined in claim 3. Prior art was not found that explicitly teaches or fairly suggests determining if a macroinstruction to be executed is a native instruction; if the macroinstruction is a native instruction, executing the native instruction, the execution

Application/Control Number: 10/645,567 Page 4

Art Unit: 2113

producing the native mode state of the processor; if the macroinstruction is not a native instruction: fetching the macroinstruction, providing microinstructions corresponding to the macroinstruction, and executing the microinstructions, the execution producing the native mode state of the processor as outlined in claim 4. Prior art was not found that explicitly teaches or fairly suggests checking the native mode state and the emulated state against the behavioral model as outlined in claims 12 and 17.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc Duncan whose telephone number is 571-272-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 571-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,567 Page 5

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

md